ED 402 086 PS 024 864

TITLE Family Matters: Indicators of Well-Being for Iowa

Children.

INSTITUTION Iowa Kids Count Initiative, Des Moines.

SPONS AGENCY Annie E. Casey Foundation, Baltimore, MD.

PUB DATE 94
NOTE 27p.

AVAILABLE FROM Iowa Kids Count Initiative, Child and Family Policy

Center, 1021 Fleming Building, 218 6th Avenue, Des

Moines, IA 50309; phone: 515-280-9027.

PUB TYPE Statistical Data (110) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Adolescents; Births to Single Women; Birth Weight;

Child Abuse; Child Advocacy; Delinquency; Demography;

Dropout Rate; Early Parenthood; High School Graduates; Homicide; Infant Mortality; *Infants; Mortality Rate; One Parent Family; Policy Formation;

Sociocultural Patterns; Violence; *Well Being; *Young

Children

IDENTIFIERS Child Advocacy Program; Child Care Needs; Death

Records; *Indicators; *Iowa

ABSTRACT

This 1994 Iowa Kids Count book presents data collected as part of a national program to track trends in child well-being. The purpose of the publication is to provide trend information needed by policy makers and the public to establish results-based policies to improve child well-being. The first part of the book describes the changing status of the Iowa family and the implications of these changes for the well-being of children and for social policy. The second part reveals mixed results in child well-being trends in the areas of: (1) "Infant Mortality"; (2) "Low Birthweight"; (3) "Child Deaths"; (4) "Teen Violent Deaths"; (5) "Births to 16- and 17-Year-Olds"; (6) "Teen Unmarried Births"; (7) "Child Abuse"; (8) "High School Graduation"; and (9) Rural, Small Urban and Metropolitan County Comparisons." The report stresses the need for social policy that is responsive to changing social structure. (AMC)



^{*} Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made * from the original document.



Indicators of Well-Being For Iowa Children

% 024864

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Michael

Champord

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

A Publication of Iowa Kids Count 1021 Fleming Building 218 6th Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50309



Family Matters

Indicators of Well-Being for Iowa Children1994

Iowa Kids Count is affiliated with National Kids Count and funded by the Annie E. Casey Foundation





The Iowa Kids Count Initiative is funded by a grant from the Annie E. Casey Foundation, which also supports a national Kids Count data book tracking trends in child well-being across the fifty states. Iowa was one of the first eight state projects funded, and 1995 marks the fifth year of the Iowa Kids Count Initiative. The Iowa Kids Count Initiative is administered by the Child and Family Policy Center with a steering committee composed of representatives from the Iowa State University Extension Service, the Iowa State Library, the Commission on Children, Youth and Families, and the Iowa Department of Human Services.



Table of Contents

Foreword	1
Part One: Trends in Family Well-Being in Iowa—	
The Next Quarter Century	2
Part Two: Child Well-Being Trends Reveal Mixed Results	10
Infant Mortality	14
Low Birthweight	14
Child Deaths	15
Teen Violent Deaths	16
Births to 16- and 17-Year-Olds	16
Teen Unmarried Births	17
Child Abuse	18
High School Graduation	18
Rural, Small Urban and Metropolitan County Comparisons	19
Acknowledgements and For Further Information	20



Foreword

hen the Annie E. Casey Foundation selected the phrase *Kids Count* to identify their national and state efforts to track trends in child well-being, they did so for a reason.

As a phrase, *Kids Count* has several important connotations. First, the data books developed through Kids Count represent a count of children and their well-being and status in society. Second, as children grow and develop, they themselves learn to count and to make distinctions. Third, children are critical to society's well-being; they matter, or count, in our lives. *Kids Count* embodies all these connotations.

This year's Iowa Kids Count Data Book is entitled Family Matters for a reason as well. As a phrase, Family Matters has several important connotations. The first part of this data book describes the changing status of the Iowa family — it is about family matters and concerns. It describes the implications of these changes to the well-being of children

— that families do matter to how well children succeed. Finally, it discusses the implications of the changing status of Iowa families to social policy — the particular issues and matters that public policies must address if they are to support families in supporting their children.

Families are the building blocks of our society and the most important determinants of a child's future. Family Matters represents Iowa Kids Count's continuing effort to provide trend information needed by policy makers and the public to establish results-based policies to improve child well-being.

The Iowa Kids Count Initiative is in the fifth year of a seven-year grant from the Annie E. Casey Foundation, totalling \$555,000. The Initiative is grateful to the Annie E. Casey Foundation for this support and for its vision and commitment to improving the lives of disadvantaged children.



Part One: Trends in Family Well-Being in Iowa— The Next Quarter Century

he Carnegie Foundation calls it "the quiet crisis."

The "crisis" is that an increasing proportion of the country's, and lowa's, children are at risk — at risk of low birthweight, child abuse and neglect, school unreadiness, delinquency, substance abuse, school failure, adolescent childbearing, and unemployability. At the same time technology offers exciting new opportunities for more fortunate youth, those less fortunate face bleak futures.

The "quiet" is that many of the signs that things are not going well for children — that children are at risk — do not reach the public policy arena as immediate and pressing needs. They can, and have been, ignored.

What has been the cause of this quiet crisis? How did we get into the position of placing our youngest generation; and, therefore, society as a whole, at risk?

This Part of Family Matters offers a long-term perspective in answering these questions. It describes economic and social changes that have occurred over the last quarter century in Iowa and the country that have placed increased pressures on most families and their children.

The next part of Family Matters describes trends in child well-being

in Iowa over the past fourteen years. As has been the case for the past four years, the Iowa Kids Count data book tracks important trends in child well-being across dimensions of health, social, and educational development.

Although focusing upon child wellbeing, however, in large measure these trends are a consequence of the changes in family economic and social well-being over the last quarter century. The goal of Iowa Kids Count is to make both these trends more a part of serious public discourse and action, and less "quiet."

Increasingly, both conservatives and liberals are recognizing that children are at increasing risk because families are more fragile and under more stress. Whether one speaks of "family values" or of "valuing families," people recognize that society and family life have changed in ways that pose threats to the security and development of too many children.

A public opinion survey of Iowans conducted by Kids Count in 1992 showed that concern over the ability of families to raise their children is widespread. Over three-quarters (76%) of adults polled felt that it was more difficult to raise children today than the time they were raised. Less than one-tenth (8%) felt it was easier. Only one-fifth (21%) felt the quality of children's lives in Iowa was improving; nearly twice as



many (36%) felt it was falling behind. The Iowa figures are consistent with national public opinion polls showing that Americans believe family life is more stressful and children are at increasing risk as a result.

The trend information provided below outlines the powerful economic changes that have had an impact upon all families in society. It is not a matter of blaming certain families — or of blaming social change. It is a matter of recognizing that many of the conditions placing children at risk are a reflection of changes in the economy of society as a whole.

The majority of the trend data is presented for the last quarter century, roughly from 1970 to the present. There are several reasons for emphasis upon this twenty-five year period. First, trends in family well-being started to change at about this time. From World War II until 1970, Iowa and the country experienced unparalleled prosperity, growth, and stability. Yet the end of the nineteen sixties saw the beginning of a change in the structure of the economy and the families within it. Second, this is the era during

which the "baby boom" generation was raised. This generation, now in its thirties and forties, represents a dominant force in American society, one with strong recollections of this

time. Third, the twenty-five year period suggests the time span needed for addressing trends in child well-being. The risk many children face today as a result of family trends over the last twenty-five years will not be addressed overnight.

Postwar Prosperity and Family Stability — 1945-1970

It is important to place the last quarter century in the context of the quarter century that preceded it. In the period from the end of World War II to 1970 — the United States and Iowa enjoyed unprecedented growth and stability. As other advanced economies were recovering from the devastation of World War II, the United States became the supplier of modern goods for that rebuilding effort.

In Iowa and the United States, workers' real incomes grew dramatically, and technology provided a wealth of new consumer goods and services. An increasing portion of American families aspired to home ownership and modern conve-

niences, and achieved them on one full-time salary. This period produced a stability in family life not known previously. It was during this period that the stereotype of a

The trend information provided outlines the powerful economic changes that have had an impact upon all families in society.



family with a full-time, wage-earning father and a homemaking mother developed as a definition of "normal" family structure. While only a brief period in our country's history, this stereotype has remained as the basis for much social policy.


Declining Wages and Stagnant Family Incomes — 1970-Present

If the quarter century following World War II was a period of rising expectations and opportunities, the quarter century since has been one of declining real wages and a resulting need for families to be industrious and ingenious to maintain their standard of living.

In their book, Toward A More Perfect Union, Gordon Berlin and Andrew Sum contend that two-parent American families have done four things during this period to maintain or improve their standard of living:

- They have delayed childbearing.
- They have had fewer children.
- They have worked more.
- They have gone into debt.

Earnings (when adjusted for inflation) declined for males in the period from 1970 to 1990. As Table One shows, earnings for white men aged 25-34 increased from 1960 to 1970, but declined during each of the next two decades. While this occurred among men of all educational backgrounds, it was most pronounced for those who did not complete high school. Between 1970 and 1990,



their earnings declined by more than one-third (37%). For those with a high school diploma only, earnings declined by one-fifth (21%). Men with more than a high school education experienced a decline of one-tenth (10%), but were the only group still better off than they were in 1960.

What has rescued many families from lower overall family incomes has been a large increase in the number of mothers joining the workforce. This has been particularly true for college-educated, professional couples, whose overall income has risen over this period.

Even with this increase in workforce participation, however, the result has been that the median family income for families with children has remained stagnant over the last quarter century, adjusted for inflation.

At the lower end of the income scale, however, the number of families living below the poverty level has risen. Much of this is the result of




an increasing number of singleparent families.

On the upper-income end, the number of families earning more than \$100,000, in 1992 wages, has risen dramatically, from 2.0% of all families with children in 1967 to 6.2% in 1992. These changes in family income have been referred to as a "narrowing of the middle class" or a widening spread between the "haves" and the "have-nots" in society.

Iowa Trends in Family Income and Family Structure


Trends in family income and family structure in lowa over the last quarter century are similar, but not identical, to those occurring in the country as a whole. They represent significant shifts in the social and economic fabric of life, with major implications for childrearing.

As Table Two shows, between 1969 and 1993, the median family income for families with children in Iowa actually declined (nationally, it remained stagnant during that period). The median family income for two-parent families remained the same over this period, at about \$40,000 in 1993 dollars. Income for single-parent families headed by a woman declined, however, resulting in a declining income for all families with children over this period. Again, while many families have seen their economic outlook improve over this period, this has been the



case primarily for two-parent families in white collar employment. The "average" Iowa family with children has seen its earnings opportunities diminish over the last quarter century.

Because the proportion of singleparent families has increased, their impact on the median income for families with children has become greater over this period. Table Three shows this change in family






structure. In 1970, 92% of all families with children were headed by a married couple. By 1990, that figure had dropped by 12%, to 80% of all families. While many reasons have been advanced for the increase in single parenting, it is clear that this increase, coupled with the low incomes of single-parent families, places serious economic strains on childrearing.

The changes presented in Tables Two and Three have occurred despite the fact that there has been a dramatic increase in the proportion of mothers who work outside the home. As Table Four shows. between 1971 and 1992, the proportion of mothers in the work force from married-couple families and from single-parent families both rose. The rise among marriedcouple families has been much more dramatic, however. The increased labor force participation of mothers in two-parent families has offset the declines in real wages and earnings for fathers. Because more mothers are working, overall family earnings for two-parent families have stayed constant. At the same time, however, this has meant that parents have less time to spend at home with their children and have greater needs for child care, especially when their children are very young.

Although mothers in single-parent families similarly are more likely to be working in 1992 than they were in 1971, they also are much more likely to be poor. As stated earlier,



over this period single-parent families have had fewer options available to them to expand their income. Between 1980 and 1990, for instance, the poverty rate among single-parent families headed by a woman increased from 35% of all families to 45%, despite the fact that the women in those families were more likely to be working.


Impacts of Family Trends on Child Poverty and Child Well-Being

These trends in family income have been caused in large measure by shifts in the Iowa and American economy. While some families have been able to respond to these changes and maintain or improve their standard of living, others have not. While these changes have affected all members of society, their impact has been most pronounced upon children.

This is reflected very clearly in the trends in child poverty, as contrasted with poverty among other age




groups and for Iowans as a whole. One consequence of the changes in family income and structure has been to place an increasing proportion of Iowa children in poverty. As Table Five shows, in 1969, children were less likely than Iowans as a whole to live in poverty. By 1989, children had become the age group in society most likely to be poor. Much of this change has been because of the dramatic decline in poverty among senior citizens, the result of higher social security and pension benefits. Still, the "gap" between the poverty experienced by working-age adults and children has widened over this period.



This increase in child poverty also is influenced by the fact that more educated and affluent families have been bearing fewer children. Childbearing rates have changed over the past quarter century, with reductions in childbearing most pronounced among the educated. As

Table Six shows, women with fewer years of school, in general, have more children, have them at a younger age, and bear them absent the support of a spouse than do women with more years of school. Table Six presents national data on childbearing for women 25-34, by the women's educational level and marital status. When coupled with similar information for women 35-44, it is clear that women with a high school diploma or less have larger families than college-educated women by a factor of about one child. They also are much less likely to have a supporting spouse. Finally, they have their children at an earlier age. This means, on average, that there will be four generations of children raised by mothers who do not complete high school for every three generations of children raised by mothers who graduate from college.







It should come as no surprise, given the trends in family structure, income, and childbearing presented here, that the trends on many of the major measures of child well-being presented in Part Two have worsened. Family matters. Increasing family stress and economic insecurity represent a major causal factor in placing Iowa's and the nation's

Family Trends and Public Policy—
The Next Quarter Century

children at increasing risk.

Many of the trends presented here are economic. These economic forces strongly impact family life and the ability of parents to rear children. As the economic structure of society changes, public policy must confront new issues and define new roles. The trends shown here present new challenges to raising children, and assuring that all children have an opportunity to succeed. As parents spend more time at work, they must rely upon other social institutions to meet their child's needs while they are away from home. Public policies must respond to these new realities about work and family.

The attention to issues such as family leave, universal child care, and schools as community resource centers is a reflection not of liberal or conservative philosophy but of changing social structure and its demands for responsive social policy.

A number of challenges and opportunities emerge from the preceding trends.

First, society must give greater attention to the needs of children and families, and particularly their needs for economic security. From 1970 to the present, concerted public efforts have

helped provide much greater economic security to senior citizens, as indicated by dramatic reductions in poverty among seniors. Equivalent public commitments are needed for children and families. The attention to issues such as family leave, universal child care, and schools as community resource centers is a reflection not of liberal or conservative philosophy but of changing social structure and its demands for responsive social policy.

Second, in the short-term, schools and other social institutions are likely to face increased challenges and greater numbers of children and families at risk. An increasing proportion of children are being born into families whose educational and economic backgrounds are too marginal to fully support their needs. To the turn of the century, kindergarten classes will have higher numbers of children from homes

8

with low-income, limited-education parents than currently is reflected in society as a whole.

Third, the solution to bringing children out of risk must be comprehensive and must stress educational development. Employment at family-sustaining wages now requires much higher levels of education and training than it did a quarter century ago. The next generation of children must have families that support their increased educational attainment, educational systems that provide it, and social and economic supports that reinforce it. Children bring more than educational needs into a classroom; these other needs must be addressed if children are to learn and succeed.

Finally, reversing quarter-centurylong trends will not be achieved overnight. It will require a longterm public and private commitment. The well-being of Iowa's children and families can be improved, but it will not occur within a single budgeting period or before the next election.

The commitment to children should be made for the next quarter century. This commitment must stress family matters and stress that family matters. At the root of Iowa's continued success will be strong families and social policies that are responsive to them.



Part Two: Child Well-Being Trends Reveal Mixed Results

amily Matters provides updated data on the eight indicators of child well-being reported in the previous Kids Count Data Books, World-Class Futures (1991), Challenging Trends (1992) and Reinventing Common Sense (1993). Consistent with past years, these data are presented in table form on a county-by-county as well as a statewide basis. In addition, the information is broken down on a rural, small urban and metropolitan basis to add that perspective.

Family Matters includes data from the most recent year available, 1993. The 1993 data, with fourteenyear trend information (1980-1993), are summarized below.

1993 Data in Brief

Between 1992 and 1993, there was improvement in child well-being within Iowa on only two of the eight Kids Count indicators, with a decline on the remaining six indicators.


1993 Improvements. The infant mortality rate was lower in 1993 than 1992, with the 1993 rate

reaching a fourteen-year low. The high school graduation percentage increased during the same period and reached its highest level since 1984.


1993 Decline. The low birthweight, births to 16- and 17-year-old and teen unmarried birth percentages, and the child abuse rate all increased from 1992 to 1993, with each reaching a fourteen-year high. The child death and teen violent death rates also rose from 1992 to 1993.

Interpretation of Trends

In some ways, the decline of the indicators of child well-being from 1992 to 1993 is a continuation of the deterioration shown by the indicators since 1980. From 1980 to 1993, there has been improvement in four of the indicators and a decline in four. Three of the four indicators that showed improvement were mortality indicators: infant mortality, child deaths and teen violent deaths. The other indicator that showed improvement was high school graduation.







The four indicators showing a decline were: low birthweight, births to 16- and 17-year-olds, teen unmarried births, and child abuse. The trends of these indicators of child well-being continue to indicate a need to support children and families more effectively.


The most recent National Kids
Count Data Book ranks Iowa second
in child well-being in comparison to
other states. However, the gap
between Iowa and the other states is
closing. When looked at over the
long-term, the trends on these eight
indicators reveal increased challenges to the well-being of children
and society.

Infant mortality and low birthweight. Infant mortality, which measures the death rate of infants under one year of age, has shown a marked improvement over the past fourteen years, decreasing 41.5 percent from 1980 to 1993. This is encouraging news, but it is tempered by the fact that the low birthweight percentage, the percentage of infants born at less than 5.5 pounds, has increased 16.0 percent during the same time period. Most of the improvements in infant mortality can be attributed to medical advances keeping premature, low birthweight infants alive. Low birthweight in babies is preventable, in many cases, through comprehensive prenatal care and support. Further gains in infant mortality and a reversal in low birthweight are likely to be possible only if there are improvements in prenatal health care and other supports for pregnant women.


Child and teen violent deaths.

Similar to infant mortality, the mortality indicators of children and teens also have improved significantly during the past fourteen years. The death rate for children age one to fourteen decreased 33.0 percent while the violent death rate (suicides, homicides and motor vehicle deaths) for teens age fifteen to nineteen fell 16.1 percent since 1980.

Because the majority of deaths in both groups is due to automobile accidents, the decreases may be due to the increased use of seat belts and child safety restraints, and an increased awareness of the conse-








quences of drinking and driving.


Adolescent parenting. Births to 16- and 17-year-olds and births to unmarried teens represent indicators that are major causes for concern. The percent of births for young women in the 16- and 17-year-old age bracket increased 13.8 percent during the past fourteen years. Teen unmarried births, as a percentage of all births, increased 77.1 percent during the same time frame. Approximately one in twelve infants was born to an unmarried teen in 1993.

The total number of births to teens


has decreased during the past thirty years, but, the proportion of these births to unmarried teens has increased dramatically. There is not only an increase in the number of single, teen parents, but these single teens are becoming parents at an earlier age. Adolescent parenting is associated with low birthweight, poverty, and child abuse and neglect, and also results in a greater relative burden upon society.

Child abuse and neglect. The rate of founded cases of child abuse has shown the greatest increase of all the Kids Count indicators presented. The child abuse rate increased









136.5 percent from 1980 to 1993.

Moreover, even with a declining child population, the number of founded cases of child abuse has more than doubled during this time. In 1980, there were 4,267 founded cases of child abuse reported in Iowa. By 1993, that figure had jumped to 8,829.


High school graduation. The high school graduation percentage, which is the percentage of students entering seventh grade who graduate with their class six years later. increased a modest 5.6 percent during the fourteen-year period. This represents no realistic improvement in the educational preparation of youth for adult economic life, however. Studies show that it is much more difficult today for an adult with a high school diploma or less to secure employment with a familysustaining income than it was fourteen years ago.

The lack of significant progress in high school completion means many

more young adults today are at risk of dependency, poverty and stress as they become parents.

General. Children should be provided a safe, healthy and nurturing environment in which to live and grow to their future potential. The trend data presented here have major implications for public policies and expenditures. Low birthweight, adolescent parenting, child abuse and neglect, and failure to complete high school result in significant social and financial costs, and deny children the opportunity to reach this potential.

To reverse these deteriorating trends, comprehensive and preventive programs aimed at children and their families must be continued, enhanced and expanded. Programs such as Healthy Families, Head Start and FaDSS (Family Development and Self-Sufficiency) have the ability to reach the children and families before the trends become overwhelming and, potentially, irreversible.





Infant Mortality

Low

The 1993 statewide infant mortality rate of 6.9 infant deaths per 1.000 live births represented a 14 percent decrease from the 1992 rate of 8.0 infant deaths and, for the first time, lowa achieved the Healthy People 2000 goal of 7.0 infant

deaths per 1,000 live births. In addition. 60 percent of the state's counties themselves reached the infant mortality goal in 1993 while just over one quarter of the counties recorded infant mortality rates higher than the national rate.

Iowa's low birthweight percentage of 5.8 percent in 1993 was a slight increase from the 1992 rate of 5.7 percent. Even though Iowa ranks thirteenth nationally among the states with infants born at low birthweight, the 1993 percentage

	Live	Infant	Inf. Mort.		Live	Infant	Inf. Mort.			Live	Low	% Low
County	Births	Deaths	Rate	County	Births	Deaths	Rate		County	Births	Birthwt.	Birthwt
Adair	74	0	0.0	Johnson	1.337	3	2.2		Adair	74	3	4.1%
Adams	51	0	0.0	Jones	235	1	4.3		Adams	51	4	7.8%
Allamakee	176	3	17.0	Keokuk	143	0	0.0		Allamakee	176	16	9.1%
Аррапооѕе	164	2	12.2	Kossuth	219	0	0.0	ļ	Appanoose	164	11	6.7%
Audubon	72	0	0.0	Lee	526	3	5.7		Audubon	72	3	4.2%
Benton	308	4	13.0	Linn	2.551	19	7.4	1	Benton	308	21	6.8%
Black Hawk	1.774	22	12.4	Louisa	184	2	10.9		Black Hawk	1.774	147	8.3%
Boone	274	1	3.6	Lucas	117	0	0.0		Boone	274	18	6.6%
Bremer	272	2	7.4	Lyon	162	2	12.3		Bremer	272	15	5.5%
Buchanan	277	1	3.6	Madison	162	0	0.0	1	Buchanan	277	16	5.8%
Buena Vista	238	0	0.0	Mahaska	277	1	3.6		Buena Vista	238	19	8.0%
Butler	169	1	5.9	Marion	391	2	5.1	-	Butler	169	11	6.5%
Calhoun	90	1	11.1	Marshall	523	4	7.6	•	Calhoun	90	4	4.4%
Carroll	258	2	7.8	Mills	159	2	12.6	İ	Carroll	258	13	5.0%
Cass	178	0	0.0	Mitchell	154	1	6.5		Cass	178	8	4.5%
Cedar	203	3	14.8	Мопопа	106	2	18.9	- [Cedar	203	6	3.0%
Cerro Gordo	592	6	10.1	Monroe	119	0	0.0		Cerro Gordo	592	44	7.4%
Cherokee	141	0	0.0	Montgomery	141	2	14.2		Cherokee	141	13	9.2%
Chickasaw	179	1	5.6	Muscatine	642	3	4.7		Chickasaw	179	9	5.0%
Clarke	81	Ō	0.0	O'Brien	191	2	10.5	- 1	Clarke	81	0	0.0%
Clav	190	0	0.0	Osceola	81	0	0.0		Clay	190	4	2.1%
Clayton	242	ī	4.1	Page	164	4	24.4	4	Clayton	242	9	3.7%
Clinton	680	8	11.8	Palo Alto	109	2	18.3		Clinton	680	41	6.0%
Crawford	208	ì	4.8	Plymouth	307	3	9.8	í	Crawford	208	14	6.7%
Dallas	425	2	4.7	Pocahontas	88	0	0.0		Dallas	425	24	5.6%
Davis	118	ī	8.5	Polk	5.460	48	8.8		Davis	118	11	9.3%
Decatur	88	i	11.4	Pottawattam		7	5.9		Decatur	88	4	4.5%
Delaware	262	i	3.8	Poweshiek	205	1	4.9		Delaware	262	17	6.5%
Des Moines	558	3	5.4	Ringgold	38	ō	0.0		Des Moines	558	29	5.2%
Dickinson	127	2	15.7	Sac	139	Ō	0.0		Dickinson	127	7	5.5%
Dubuque	1.252	2	1.6	Scott	2.408	12	5.0		Dubuque	1.252	73	5.8%
Emmet	141	ō	0.0	Shelby	163	0	0.0		Emmet	141	11	7.8%
Favette	255	1	3.9	Sioux	437	2	4.6		Fayette	255	18	7.1%
Flovd	200	i	5.0	Story	785	6	7.6		Floyd	200	13	6.5%
Franklin	120	ī	8.3	Tama	225	1	4.4		Franklin	120	8	6.7%
Fremont	90	Ò	0.0	Ta ylor	70	0	0.0	i	Fremont	90	5	5.6%
Greene	129	1	7.8	Union	152	0	0.0		Greene	129	12	9.3%
Grundy	141	ò	0.0	Van Buren	108	ī	9.3		Grundy	141	6	4.3%
Guthrie	105	0	0.0	Wapello	465	3	6.5		Guthrie	105	5	4.8%
Hamilton	193	i	5.2	Warren	499	4	8.0		Hamilton	193	9	4.7%
Hancock	125	0	0.0	Washington		ī	3.7		Hancock	125	3	2.4%
Hardin	204	0	0.0	Wayne	71	Ö	0.0	- 1	Hardin	204	20	9.8%
Harrison	179	1	5.6	Webster	534	4	7.5		Harrison	179	11	6.1%
	252	• 4	15.9	Winnebago	121	3	24.8	,	Henry	252	17	6.7%
Henry Howard	2 32 112	1	8.9	Winneshiek		0	0.0		Howard	112	5	4.5%
Humboldt	110	Ó	0.0	Woodbury	1.651	13	7.9		Humboldt	110	8	7.3%
lda	93	2	21.5	Worth	94	0	0.0	,	Ida	93	4	4.3%
iua Iowa	213	o O	0.0	Wright	156	3	19.2	;	lowa	213	10	4.7%
Jackson	213 258	2	7.8	Kiir	100	J		1	Jackson	258	14	5.4%
	423	5	7.8 11.8	Iowa .	37,805	261	6.9		Jasper	423	21	5.0%
J asper Jefferson	177	3	16.9	IUWA .	01,000	201	4.0		Jefferson	177	-8	4.5%
Jener Soul	177	J	10.5		(1000)		0.5		o cheroon	•••	-	

United States (1992)

Birthweight

Child Deaths

was still below the Healthy
People 2000 goal of 5.0 percent
low birthweight infants. More
than 40 percent of the counties
in the state recorded low birthweight percentages at or below
the low birthweight goal, however.

The child death rate in Iowa registered a modest increase from 1992 to 1993, increasing from 21.3 deaths per 100.000 children age 1-14 in 1992 to 23.6 deaths in 1993. Even so, Iowa ranks fifth nationally among the states in child death

rates and already has reached the Healthy People 2000 goal of 28.0 child deaths per 100,000 children age 1–14. Just over one-third of the counties in the state recorded higher child death rates than the Healthy People 2000 goal in 1993.

Country	Live Births	Low Birthwt.	% Low Birthwt.		Countr	Child Population	Child Deaths	Death Rate	County	Child Population	Child Deaths	Death Rate
County					County	1.684	O eaths	0.0	Johnson	15.665	3	19.2
Johnson	1.337 235	72 10	5.4% 4.3%		Adair Adams	923	0	0.0 0.0	Jones	3,950	0 ·	0.0
Jo nes Keokuk	143	3	4.3% 2.1%	•	Allamakee	3.010	1	33.2	Keokuk	2.428	0	0.0
	2 19	3 9	4.1%	1	Appanoose	2.729	1	36. 6	Kossuth	4.141	· · · o · ·	0.0
Kossuth	526	28	5.3%	:	• •	1.480	0	0.0	Lee	7.973	0	0.0
.ee		28 1 28	5.3% 5.0%	1	Audubon	1.480 4,990	1	20.0	Linn	33,518	5	14.9
Linn	2,551			į	Benton	-	5	2 0.0 19.9	Louisa	2.491	J.,	40.1
Louisa	184	6	3.3%	1	Black Hawk		0	0.0	Lucas	1,778	1.	56.2
Lucas	117	5	4.3%	İ	Boone	4,973		22.1		2.897		34.5
Lyon	162	2	1.2%	1	Bremer	4.531	1 0		Lyon Madison	2.689	2	74.4
Madison	162	10	6.2%	ļ	Buchanan.	5,127		0.0		•		0.0
Mahaska	277	20	7.2%	:	Buena Vista		1	24.0	Mahaska	4.477	0	
Marion	391	20	5.1%	Í	Butler	3,277	2	61.0	Marion.	6,107		16.4
Marshall	523	34	6.5%	1	Calhoun	2.320	0	0.0	Marshall	7.556	ا ا	13.2
Mills	159	10	6.3%	-	Carroll	5,187	1	19.3	Mills	2,834	17.	
Mitchell	154	6	3.9%	,	Cass	3.080	1	32.5	Mitchell	2.242		44.6
Monona	106	5	4.7%	- 1	Cedar	3,712	0	0.0	Monona	1,943	2	102.9
Monroe	119	7	5.9%	,	Cerro Gordo		4	43.3	Monroe	1.635	0	0.0
Montgomery	141	8	5.7%	ì	Cherokee	3.007	0	. 0.0	Montgomer		. 2	84.7
Muscatine	642	30	4.7%	i	Chickasaw	2.942	_ 2	68.0	Muscatine	8,806	0	0.0
O'Brien	191	10	5.2%		Clarke	1.719	1	58.2	O'Brien	3,305	0 .	0.0
Osceola	81	l	1.2%		Clay	3.894	ì	25.7	Osceola	1.563	0	0.0
Page	164	15	9.1%	!	Clayton	4,167	. 1	24.0	Page	3,328	1	30.0
Palo Alto	109	8	7.3%		Clinton	10.859	3	27.6	Palo Alto	2.259	l	44.3
Plymouth	307	19	6.2%		Crawford	3,585	1	27.9	Plymouth	5,445	2	36.7
Pocahontas	88	8	9.1%		Dallas	6.629	0	0.0	Pocahontas	1.983	1	50.4
Polk	5,460	336	6.2%	i	Davis	1,778	2	112.5	Polk.	65,413	25	38.2
Pottawattam	ie 1.193	71	6.0%		Decatur	1.517	2	131.8	Pottawatta	mie 17.926	l	5.6
Poweshiek	205	8	3.9%	- 1	Delaware	4,475	1	22.3	Poweshiek	3,653	0 .	0.0
Ringgold	38	2	5.3%		Des Moines	8.769	2	22.8	Ringgold	1,039	0	0.0
Sac	139	6	4.3%	Ì	Dickinson	2,787	1	35.9	Sac	2,636	2	75.9
Scott	2.408	159	6.6%		Dubuque	18.557	7	37.7	Scott	33.779	7	20.7
Shelby	163	12	7.4%	i	Emmet	2,398	0	0.0	Shelby	2.861	1	35.0
Sioux	437	14	3.2%	,	Fayette	4.555	1	22.0	Sioux	7.251	0	0.0
Story	785	36	4.6%	1	Floyd	3.503	0	0.0	Story	11.787	2	17.0
Tama	225	10	4.4%		Franklin	2.344	0	0.0	Tama	3.589	2	55.7
Taylor	70	5	7.1%		Fremont	1,705	1	58.7	Taylor	1,428	0	0.0
Union	152	8	5.3%	٠	Greene	2,003	1	49.9	Union	2.570	0	0.0
Van Buren	108	4	3.7%	ı	Grundy	2,441	Ô	0.0	Van Buren		Ö	0.0
Wapello	465	27	5.8%	1	Guthrie	2.162	0	0.0	Wapello	6.728	l	14.9
Warren	499	28	5.6%	1	Hamilton	3,219	Ŏ	0.0	Warren	8,081	2~	24.7
Washington	273	40	2.2%	- !	Hancock	2.839	2	70.4	Washington		0	0.0
Wasnington Wayne	2/3 71	1	1.4%	i	Hardin	3.652	2	54.8	Wayne	1.321	0	0.0
Wayne Webster	534	39	7.3%	1	Harrison	3.052 3.159	1	31.7	Webster	8,382	l	11.9
		_	7.3% 6.6%	ł			2	51.7 51.7	Winnebago		2 ~	81.6
Winnebago	121	_		1	Henry Howard	3,872					0	0.0
Winneshiek Woodburv	229	5 66	2.2%	,		2.083	2	96.0	Winneshiel Woodbury	22.122	4	18.1
	1.651	88	5.3%	١	Humboldt	2,187	0	0.0				0.0
Worth	94	6	6.4%	i	Ida	1,849	0	0.0	Worth	1,571	0 0 ·	
Wright	156	3	1.9%	1	lowa	2,998	1	33.4	Wright	2,744	U·	0.0
	o# 00=	0.450			Jackson	4.400	3	68.2	•-	E70 4E0	125	00.0
Iowa	37,805	2,178	5.8%		Jasper	6,987	. 1	14.3	Iowa	572,458	135	23.6
					Jefferson	3.215	0	0.0		(1000)		00.0
United State	s (1992)		7.1%				20		United Sta	tes (1992)		28.8



Teen Violent Deaths

Births to 16-

Live

Birth

The statewide teen violent death rate, comprised of suicides, homicides and motor vehicle accidents, rose from 43.1 deaths per 100,000 teens age 15-19 in 1992 to 51.1 deaths in 1993, a 19 percent increase. More than 40 percent of the counties in Iowa

had teen violent death rates higher than the statewide rate in 1993. Most of these counties were rural which, as was the case with child deaths. tended to have higher death rates than the more populated counties.

The percentage of 16- and 17year-olds giving birth in Iowa, which had decreased from 1991 to 1992, increased 14 percent from 1992 to 1993. The birth percentage was 2.9 percent in 1992 and rose to 3.3 percent in 1993. One in

Age 16-17

	Teen	Violent	Death
County	Population	Deaths	Rate
Adair	486	0	0.0
Adams	311	0	0.0
Allamakee	916	3	327.5
Appanoose	950	2	210.5
Audubon	429	0	0.0
Benton	1,441	1	69.4
Black Hawk	1 May 124	l	10.0
Boone	1.511	0	0.0
Bremer	1.903	0	0.0
Buchanan	1,499	0	_0.0
Buena Vista	1,479	1	67.6
Butler	1.058	2	189.0
Calhoun	656	0	0.0
Carroll	1,387	0	0.0
Cass	932	1	107.3
Cedar	1.093	1	91.5
Cerro Gordo	3,221	2	62.1
Cherokee	950	0	0.0
Chickasaw	944	0	0.0
Clarke	535	Ô	0.0
Clay	1,134	0	0.0
Clayton	1.278	5	391.2
Clinton	3,531	1	28.3
Crawford	1.363	0	0.0
Dallas	1.931	2	103.6
Davis	578	1	173.0
Decatur	798	1	125.3
Delaware	1,246	ì	⁶ 80.3
Des Moines	2,844	4	140.6
Dickinson	887	0 -	0.0
Dubuque	6,809	2	29.4
Emmet	988	. 0	0.0
Favette	1,491	0	0.0
Floyd	1,141	1	87.6
Franklin	691	0	0.0
Fremont	550	0	0.0
Greene	591	0	0.0
Grundy	728	1	137.4
Guthrie	656	1	152.4
Hamilton	1,039	0 '	0.0
Hancock	836	1	119.6
Hardin	1,573	0	0.0
Harrison	993	0	0.0
Henry	1,350	1	74.1
Howard	580	0	0.0
Humboldt	649 -	0	0.0
Ida	540	3	555.6
lowa.	838	0~	0.0
Jackson	1,420	0	0.0
Jasper	2,321	3	129.3
Jefferson	988	0	0.0

	Teen	Violent	Death
County	Population	Deaths	Rate
Johnson	8.758	1	11.4
Jones	1,295	3	231.7
Keokuk	748	2	267.4
Kossuth	1,202	2	166.4
Lee	2.471	0	0.0
Lim	12,291	3	24.4
Louisa	818	l	122.2
Lucas	595	0	0.0
Lyon	837 929	1	119.5
Madison	929	0	0.0
Mahaska	1,480	0	0.0
Marion	2,386	Ö	0.0
Marshall	2.548	1	39.2
Mills	976	3	307.4
Mitchell	735	1	136.1
Monona	619	0	0.0
Monroe	F00	Ö .	0.0
Montgomer		.0	0.0
Muscatine	2.874	2	69.6
O'Brien	989	1	101.1
Osceola	486	1	205.8
Page	1,132.	0	0.0
Palo Alto	811	0	0.0
Plymouth			57.0
Pocahontas		0	0.0
Polk	21,721	8	36.8
Pottawattar		2	34.2
Poweshiek		2.	117.0
Ringgold	316	0	0.0
Sac	727	1	137.6
Scott	10.560	4	37.9
Shelby	894	i	111.9
Sioux	2,683	ī	37.3
Story	7,903	i	12.7
Tama	1,145	ī	87.3
Taylor	474	Ô	0.0
Union	995	0	0.0
Van Buren		ŏ	0.0
Wapello	2.508	2	79.7
Warren	2.934	2	68.2
Washington	•	3	245.9
Wayne	389	i	257.1
Webster	2,724	1	36.7
Winnebago		Ô	0.0
Winneshie		1	50.2
Woodbury		5	69.3
Worth	494	3	0.0
	837		1 19.5
Wright	<i>လ၊</i>		140.0
Iowa	199,416	102	51.1

	We 10-11	TIAC	DILLII
County	Female Pop.	Births	Percentage
Adair	110	3	2.7%
Adams	69	2	2.9%
Allamakee	190	8	4.2%
Appanoose	197	8	4.1%
Audubon	92	0	0.0%
Benton	278	8.	2.9%
Black Haw	k 1.554	78	5.0%
Boone	295	10	3.4%
Bremer	336	4	1.2%
Buchanan	303	6	2.0%
Buena Vis		8	3.3%
Butler	225	3	1.3%
Calhoun	152	2	1.3%
Carroll	293	8	2.7%
Cass	201	4	2.0%
Cedar	211	8.3	3.8%
Cerro Gor	do 565	18	3.2%
Cherokee	225	4.5	1.8%
Chickasav	v 190	3	1.6%
Clarke	132	3	2.3%
Clay	205	6	2.9%
Clayton	301	6.	2.0%
Clinton	682	22	3.2%
Crawford	279	4 7	1.4%
Dallas	399	11	2.8%
Davis	117	2:	1.7%
Decatur	97	4	4.1%
Delaware	293	5 '	1.796
Des Moine		26	5.0%
Dickinson		2	1.2%
Dubuque	1.262	25	2.0%
Emmet	188	5.	2.7%
Fayette	304	3	1.0%
Floyd	232	~ '6'	2.6%
Franklin	156	4	2.6%
Fremont	109	1	0.9%
Greene	118	4	3.4%
Grundy	165	2	1.2%
Guthrie	165	0	0.0%
Hamilton		11	5.3%
Hancock	179	5	2.8%
Hardin	218	11	5.0%
Harrison	232	5	2.2%
Henry	250	12	4.8%
Howard	124	4	3.2%
Humbold		4	2.8%
Ida	117	3	2.6%
lua Iowa	169	2~~	1.2%
Jackson	300	11	3,7%
Jasper	· =====460	8	1.7%
Jefferson	179	6	3.4%
Jenerson	113	U	J.77V

United States (1992)

66.6

17-Year-Olds Teen Unmarried Births

fifteen young women in Iowa will give birth between the ages of 16 and 17. Just over one-fourth of the state's counties, mostly the more heavily populated, had percentages higher than the statewide percentage.

The teen unmarried birth percentage, or proportion of all lowa births that are to unmarried teens. increased from 8.0 percent in 1992 to 8.5 percent in 1993. One in twelve infants in lowa was born to an unmarried teen in 1993. This percentage has increased more

than 77 percent since 1980 and. at this rate, will surpass the national average by the year 2000. More than one-fourth of the counties in the state already have teen unmarried birth percentages above the national figure.

Live

Teen Unm.

Teen

				G				
	Age 16-17	Live	Birth		Live	Teen Unm.	Teen	
County	Female Pop.	Births	Percentage	County	Births	Births	U nm . %	County
Johnson	821	15	1.8%	Adair	74	3	4.1%	Johnson
Jo nes	248	6	2.4%	Adams	51	2	3 .9%	Jones
Keokuk	153	4	2.6%	Allamakee	176	14	8.0%	Keokuk
Kossuth	289	6	2.1%	Appanoose	164	20	12.2%	Kossuth
Lee	519	22	4.2%	Audubon	72	1	1.4%	l.ee
Linn	2,181	68 .	3.1%	Benton	308	20	6.5%	Linn
Louisa	183	5	2.7%	Black Hawk	1.774	226	12.7%	Louisa
Lucas.	112	2	1.8%	Boone	274	16	5.8%	Lucas
Lyon	182	1	0.5%	Bremer	272	8	2.9%	Lyon
Madison		. 2	1.1%	Buchanan .	277	24	8.7%	Madison
Mahaska	282	10	3.5%	Buena Vista	238	23	9.7%	Mahaska
Marion	272	17	6.3%	Butler	169	13	7.7%	Marion
Marshall	531	19	3.6%	Calhoun	90	6	6.7%	Marshall
	210	6.	2.9%	Carroll	258	20	7.8%	Mills
Mitchell	154	4	2.6%	Cass	178	12	6.7%	Mitchell
Monona	122	i	0.8%	Cedar	203	. 16	7.9%	Monona
Monroe	100	3	3.0%	Cerro Gordo	592	55	9.3%	Monroe
Montgome		4-	2.5%	Cherokee	141	9	6.4%	Montgome
Muscatine		34	6.3%	Chickasaw	179	5	2.8%	Muscatine
O'Brien	192	10	5.2%	Clarke	81	7	8.6%	O'Brien
Osceola	112	10	0.9%	Clay	190	14	7.4%	Osceola
Page	208	6	2.9%	Clayton	242	12	5.0%	Page
Palo Alto	138	2	1.4%	Clinton	680	73	10.7%	Palo Alto
Plymouth		7	2.2%	Crawford	208 `	19	9.1%	Plymouth
Pocahonta		2	1.8%	Dallas	425	32	7.5%	Pocahonta
Polk	4.078	191	4.7%	Davis	118	. 3	2.5%	Polk
		54	4.7%	Decatur	88	6	6.8%	Pottawatta
Poweshiel	amie 1, 103 k 251	. 54	4.9% 1.6%	Delaware	262	17	6.5%	Poweshiel
	k 251. 79	-		Des Moines	558	65	11.6%	Ringgold
Ringgold	_	1	1.3%	Dickinson	127	3	2.4%	Sac
Sac	1 66	1	0.6%		1.252	93	7.4%	Scott
Scott	2.089	103	4.9%	Dubuque	1,232	1 7	12.1%	Shelby
Shelby	209	5	2.4% .	Emmet	255	11	4.3%	Sioux
Sioux	422	12	2.8%	Fayette		18	9.0%	Story
Story	666	12	1.8%	Floyd	200 120	5	4.2%	Tama
Tama	269	6	2.2%	Franklin		10	11.1%	Taylor
Ta ylor	102:.	1	1.0%	Fremont	90	10	7.8%	Union
Union	182	4	2.2%	Greene	129		7.6% 3.5%	Van Bure
Van Bure		3	3.9%	Grundy	141	5		
Wapello	460	20	4.3%	Guthrie	105	9 17	8.6%	Wapello
Warren	556	12	2.2%	Hamilton	193		8.8%	Warren
Washingt		. 6	2.3%	Hancock	125	. 10	8.0%	Washingt
Wayne	81	1.	1.2%	Hardin	204	23	11.3%	Wayne
Webster	508	19	3.7%	Harrison	179	15	8.4%	Webster
Winneba	-	4	2.5%	Henry	252	23	9.1%	Winnebag
Winneshi		0	0.0%	Howard	112	9	8.0%	Winneshi
Woodbur	•	73	5.4%	Humboldt	.110	8	7.3%	Woodbury
Worth	101	4	4.0%	lda	93	6	6.5%	Worth
Wright	170	. 2	1.2%	Iowa	213	9	4.2%	Wright
				Jackson	258	25	9.7%	
Iowa	36,106	1,203	3.3%	Jasper_	423		7.3%	Iowa
				Jefferson	177	12	6.8%	L'nited Ci
			1 001					I mised Ci

County	Births	Births	Unm. %
Johnson	1,337	37	2.8%
Jones	235	18	7.7%
Keokuk	143	9 .	6.3%
Kossuth	219	9	4.1%
Lee	526	62	11.8%
Linn _	2.551	202.	. 7.9% .
Louisa	184	16	8.7%
Lucas	117	9	7.7%
Lyon	162	. , . 4	2.5%
Madison	162	9	 5.6%
Mahaska	277	17	6.1%
Marion	391	37	9.5%
Marshall	523	44	8.4%
Mills	159	15	9.4%
Mitchell	154	. 8	5.2%
Monona	106	4	3.8%
Monroe	119	7 .	5.9%
Montgomery	141	10	7.1%
Muscatine	642	62	9.7%
O'Brien	191	23	12.0%
Osceola	81	3	3.7%
Page	164	15	9.1%
Palo Alto	109	8	7.3%
Plymouth	307	22	7.2%
Pocahontas	88	. 8	9.1%
Polk	5.460	510	9.3%
Pottawattam		129	10.8%
Poweshiek	205	12.	5.9%
Ringgold	38	4	10.5%
Sac	139	13	9.4%
Scott	2.408	305	12.7%
Shelby	163	9.	5.5%
Sioux	437	²⁵ .	5.7%
Story	785	33	4.2%
Tama	225	17	7.6%
Taylor	70	5.	7.1%.
Union	152	9	5.9%
Van Buren	108	7	6.5%
Wapello	465	50	10.8%
Warren	499	33	6.6%
Washington		15	5.5%
Wayne	71	6_	8.5%
Webster	534	51	9.6%
Winnebago		9	7.4%
Winneshiek		5	2.2%
Woodbury	1,651	195	11.8%
Worth	94	.8	8.5%
Wright	156	11	7.1%
Iowa	37,805	3,229	8.5%
United State	es (1991)		9.0%



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

4.8%

United States (1990)

Child Abuse

High School

The founded rate of child abuse in Iowa continues to increase substantially, with the rate jumping 12 percent from 1992 to 1993. The child abuse rate was 11.0 founded cases per 1.000 children age 0–17 in 1992 and rose to 12.3 founded cases in 1993. Even with a

declining child population, the number of founded cases of child abuse in Iowa has more than doubled since 1980.

Almost one-fourth of the state's counties had founded child abuse rates higher than the state rate in 1993.

The high school graduation percentage in lowa, or percentage of students entering seventh grade who graduate with their class six years later, registered a slight increase from 1992 to 1993. The percentage

Graduation

Avg. Class

County	Child Population	Founded Cases	Founded Abuse Rate	County	Child Population	Founded Cases	Founded Abuse Rate
Adair	2.111	13	6.2	Johnson	19.347	155	8.0
Adams	1.189	13	10.9	Jones	4,990	30	6.0
Allamakee	3,774	25	6.6	Keokuk	3.021	31	10.3
Appanoose		44	12.7	Kossuth	5,215	35	6.7
Audubon	1.873	13	6.9	Lee	9,971	113	11.3
Benton	6.219	69	11.1	Linn	42,430	455	10.7
Black Haw		608	19.4	Louisa	3.162	35	11.1
Boone	6.169	59	9.6	Lucas	2.234	21	9.4
Bremer	5,762	29	5.0	Lyon	3,614	15	4.2
Buchanan	6,419	60	9.3	Madison	3,444	26	7.5
Buena Vist		55	10.6	Mahaska	5.624	32	5.7
Butler	4,149	26	6.3	Marion	7,684	91	11.8
Calhoun	2,889	21	7.3	Marshall	9.598	116	12.1
Carroll	6,359	36	5.7	Mills	3,625	72	19.9
Cass	3.880	43	11.1	Mitchell	2.848	32	11.2
Cedar	4,633	51	11.0	Monona	2,456	6	2.4
Cerro Goro		225	19.4	Monroe	2.059	19	9.2
Cherokee	3.827	19	5.0	Montgome	ery 2,974	21	7.1
Chickasaw		47	12.6	Muscatine	11,140	277	24.9
Clarke	2,168	23	10.6	O'Brien	4.124	16	3.9
Clav	4.804	54	11.2	Osceola	1.979	10	5.1
Clayton	5,322	2 7	5.1	Page	4.214	38 .	9.0
Clinton	13.619	188	13.8	Palo Alto	2.848	31	10.9
Crawford	4.617	36	7.8	Plymouth	6,792	14	2.1
Dallas	8.286	62	7.5	Pocahonta	as 2.478	18	7.3
Davis	2,262	21	9.3	Polk	81,971	1,803	22.0
Decatur	1,941	42	21.6	Pottawatt	amie22,527	377	16.7
Delaware	5,540	20	3.6	Poweshiel	k 4.663	51	10.9
Des Moine	s 10.952	129	11.8	Ringgold	1.298	18	13.9
Dickinson	3,506	28	. 8.0	Sac	3,278	42	12.8
Dubuque	23.401	235	10.0	Scott	42.187	642	15.2
Emmet	3,073	41	13:3	Shelby	3,586	15	4.2
Fayette	5.780	63	10.9	Sioux	8,941	14	1.6
Floyd	4.425	68	15.4	Story	14,680	118	8.0
Franklin	2.926	12	4.1	Tama	4,548	40	8.8
Fremont	2,146	19	8.9	Taylor	1,822	6	3.3
Greene	2.486	46	18.5	Union	3.292	60	18.2
Grundy	3.077	22	7.1	Van Bure		29	14.4
Guthrie	2.723	22	8.1	Wapello	8.568	113	13.2
Hamilton	4,081	57	14.0	Warren	10,186	117	11.5
Hancock	3.561	39	11.0	Washingt		45	8.6
Hardin	4,775	11	2.3	Wayne	1,657	24	14.5
Harrison	3.959	29	7.3	Webster	10,483	108	10.3
Henry	4.847	27	5.6	Winneba		46	14.9
Howard	2.629	14	5.3	Winnesh		26	5.1
Humboldt		24	8.8	Woodbur	•	267	9.7
lda	2.334	10	4.3	Worth	1.982	46	23.2
Iowa	3.745	42	11.2	Wright	3,485	40	11.5
Jackson	5,565	58	10.4	V	710 000	0 000	12.3
Jasper	8,912	104	11.7	Iowa	718,88 0	8,829	12.3
lefferson	3.987	44	11.0	United S	tates (1992)		20 2 3

	Avg. Class		Graduation
County	Size	Graduates	Percentage
Adair	98	86	87.8%
Adams	53	51	. 96.2%
Allamakee	214	196	91.6%
Appanoose	193	150	77.7%
Audubon	90	84	93.3%
Benton	311.	270	86.8%
Black Hawk	1.302	753	57.8%
Boone	293	250	85.3%
Bremer	436	416	95.4%
Buchanan	249	208	83.5%
Buena Vista		221	86.0%
Butler	192	186	96.9%
Calhoun	203	197	97. 0 %
Carroll	225	210	93.3%
Cass	239	217	90.8%
Cedar	279	260	93.2%
Cerro Gordo	502	436	86.9%
Cherokee	208	200	96.2%
Chickasaw	185	180	97.3%
Clarke	127	119	93.7%
Clay	276	257	93.1%
Clayton	290	270	93.1%
Clinton	677	590	87.1%
Crawford	235	221	94.0%
Dallas	488	390	79.9%
Davis	106	93	87.7%
Decatur	107	94	87.9%
Delaware	267	254	95.1%
Des Moines	533	480	90.1%
Dickinson	219	206	94.1%
Dubuque	974	826	84.8%
Emmet	181	171	94.5%
Fayette	354	315	89. 0 %
Floyd	254	226	89.0%
Franklin	150	138	92.0%
Fremont	134	122	91.0%
Greene	136	128	94.1%
Grundy	217	205	94.5%
Guthrie	180	173	96.1%
Hamilton	234	211	90.2%
Hancock	184	177	96.2%
Hardin	281	248	88.3%
Harrison	230	208	90.4%
Henry	295	267	90.5%
Howard	177	167	94.4%
Humboldt	144	133	92.4%
Ida	111	110	99.1% 97.1%
Iowa	207	201	97.1%
Jackson	292	264	90.4% 88.6%
Jasper .	492	436	76.6%
Jefferson	141	108	70.070


Graduation

was 86.2 percent in 1992 and 86.6 percent in 1993. Though lowa ranks high nationally in the percentage of students who graduate, the state's percentage has risen only modestly since 1980.

A	Avg. Class		Graduation
County	Size	Graduate	s Percentage
Johnson	784	720	91.8%
Jones	272	238	87.5%
Keokuk	179	166	92.7%
Kossuth	199	186	93.5%
Lee	485	391	80.6%
Linn	2.093	1,958	93.5%
Louisa	220	207	94.1%
Lucas	126	111	88.1%
Lyon	172	167	97.1%
Madison	217	209	96.3%
Mahaska	231	196	84.8%
Marion	394	360	91.4%
Marshall	470	448	95.3%
Mills	193	168	87.0%
Mitchell	143	133	93.0%
Monona	139	120	86.3%
Monroe	98	84	85.7%
Montgomery	1 64 -	. 151	92.1%
Muscatine	574	460	80.1%
O'Brien	223	202	90.6%
Osceola	72	65	90.3%
Page	251	218	86.9%
Palo Alto	170	159	93.5%
Plymouth	329	31 5 .	95.7%
Pocahontas	125	119	95.2%
Polk.	3.834	3.255	84.9%
Pottawattam		986	89.2%
	228	201	88.2%
Poweshiek	75	62	82.7%
Ringgold			89.6%
Sac	. 183	. 164	69.2%
Scott	2.050	1,419	94.1%
Shelby	204	192	
Sioux	305	289	94.8%
Story	770	712	92.5%
Tama	259	228	88.0%
Taylor	90	. 88	97.8%
Union	177	159	89.8%
Van Buren	107	91	85.0%
Wapello	502	392	78.1%
Warren	534	500	93.6%
Washington	250	212	84.8%
Wayne	95	90	94.7%
Webster	425	352	82.8%
Winnebago	209	179	85.6%
Winneshiek	214	192	89.7%
Woodbury	1,245	965	77.5%
Worth	89	80	89.9%
Wright	200	190	95.0%
Iowa	35,696	30,928	86.6%
United State	es (1991)		68.8%

Rural, Small Urban and Metropolitan County Comparisons

Many of Iowa's counties are small enough that variations on the eight indicators of child well-being will be pronounced from year to year. For this reason, counties were divided into three county groupings for further analysis: counties with no population center of 5,000 inhabitants or more (designated rural counties), counties with the largest population center being from 5,000 to 49,999 inhabitants (designated small urban counties) and counties with a population center of 50,000 or more inhabitants (designated metropolitan counties).



■ Metropolitan Counties ☐ Small Urban Counties ☐ Rural Counties

Child Indicators Rural, Small Urban and Metropolitan Counties and Iowa											
	Rural	Small Urban	Metropolitan	lowa							
1993 Well-Being Indicators											
Infant Mortality Rate	7.0	6.6	7.1	6.9							
Low Birthweight Percentage	4.9%	5.7%	6.1%	5.8%							
Child Death Rate	35.1	17.5	24.6	23.6							
Teen Violent Death Rate	99.7	51.7	31.3	51.1							
Birth to 16-17-Year-Old Percentage	2.1%	3.0%	4.2%	3.3%							
Teen Unmarried Birth Percentage	6.6%	8.0%	9.6%	8.5%							
Child Abuse Rate	9.4	10.3	15.6	12.3							
High School Graduation Percentage	92.6%	88.5%	81.3%	86.6%							



Acknowledgements

This publication and the Iowa Kids Count Initiative were made possible through a grant from the Annie E. Casey Foundation to the Child and Family Policy Center. The Child and Family Policy Center is a non-profit organization designed to better link research and policy on issues vital to children and families. The Center was founded by Tanager Place, a charitable organization in Cedar Rapids, Iowa.

The Center would like to thank the Annie E. Casey Foundation for its support of this effort. In addition, the Center would like to thank in particular those who have collaborated in this effort through their service on the Steering Committee: Harold Coleman from the Commission on Children, Youth, and Families; Beth Henning from the State Library of Iowa: Mary Nelson from the Department of Human Services; and Lesia Oesterreich and Karen Shirer from the Iowa State University Extension Service. The Center also thanks Willis Goudy for the technical assistance he has provided to this effort. Finally, the Center thanks Karman Wittry for her help in designing the publication.

For Further Information

In addition to its annual report on the well-being of Iowa children, the Iowa Kids Count Initiative publishes a quarterly newsletter which is available upon request. Persons and organizations wishing to receive further publications of the Iowa Kids Count Initiative should contact Mike Crawford, Project Director, Child and Family Policy Center, 1021 Fleming Building, 218 6th Avenue, Des Moines, IA 50309 (515-280-9027).



Child and Family Policy Center 1021 Fleming Building 218 6th Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50309





Tanager Place



We'd Like Your Comments

Please complete this information, cut, fold and mail to the address on the reverse side.

I believe Family Ma	tters
will will not	
	help me in my work.
	contribute to my general knowledge.
	be something I share with others.
In future editions, I Family Matters (data provided, narrative	would like to see the following changes made to a presented, manner in which charts and tables are statements, etc.):
· .	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Family Matters disseminated to the organizations with which I work:
I would like to be	e placed on the mailing list for future reports from
☐ I would like infor	rmation on the Blueprint for Iowa's Young Children
Name	
Address	
City, State, ZIP	



Fold Here

Place Stamp Here

IOWA KIDS COUNT 1021 FLEMING BUILDING 218 6TH AVENUE DES MOINES, IA 50309





U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDE			
Title: Family	Matters: Indicators	of	
Well-Being	for Iwa Caldren		
Author(s): Isaa	Kids Comb Initiat	ind	,
Corporate Source:	sild and Family Pol	in Cath	Publication Date:
Corporate Courses.		9	1994
	<u> </u>		
II. REPRODUCTIO	N RELEASE:		
in the monthly abstract jour paper copy, and electronic/	as widely as possible timely and significant nal of the ERIC system, <i>Resources in Educa</i> optical media, and sold through the ERIC Do document, and, if reproduction release is gra	ation (RIE), are usually made availab ocument Reproduction Service (EDR	ele to users in microfiche, reproduced (SS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is
If permission is grante the bottom of the page.	d to reproduce and disseminate the identified	d document, please CHECK ONE of	the following two options and sign at
	The sample sticker shown below will be	The sample sticker shown below	
	affixed to all Level 1 documents	affixed to all Level 2 docume	ents
ĮΧ	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE	E AND
^	DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN P	- · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Check here		COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED	Check here
For Level 1 Release:	- ample	- Inple	For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or		—— 8'v.——	Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or
other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESO	Culoi El lio dicilival illocia
and paper copy	INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)		but not in paper copy.
a.		Lavel 0	
RO	Level 1	Level 2	
6 7			•
	cuments will be processed as indicated provi		
*I hereby gr	ant to the Educational Resources Information (Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission	n to reproduce and disseminate
this docume	ent as indicated above. Reproduction from the byees and its system contractors requires per	e ERIC microfiche or electronic/optica mission from the copyright holder. E	il media by persons other than xception is made for non-profit
reproduction	n by libraries and other service agencies to sai	tisfy information needs of educators in	response to discrete inquiries."
Sign Signature:		Printed Name/Position/Title	9: <i>C</i>
rhere	l CAR	Michael Cra	tratice Associate
Please Organization/Addre	ss: — · · · · · ·	Telephone:	FAX: /
Child and	ssi Family Policy Cont A Duence #1021 nes, IA 50309	× 515/280-9027	515/244-8497
Q18 51xt	& Duence #1001	E-Mail Address: リルユユスタ @	Date: 12-3-96
FRIC Des Mo.	ies, IA 50309	hardred, org	10-3-16

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:		
Address:		
Price:		
	•	
		:
	OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTIO	N RIGHTS HOLDER:
Namo.		rovide the appropriate name and address:
Name:		rovide the appropriate name and address.
		rovide the appropriate name and address
		rovide the appropriate name and address
		rovide the appropriate name and address
Name: Address:		rovide the appropriate name and address
		rovide the appropriate name and address
		rovide the appropriate name and address

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

KAREN E. SMITH ACQUISITIONS COORDINATOR ERIC/EECE 805 W. PENNSYLVANIA AVE. URBANA, IL 61801-4897

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

1100 West Street, 2d Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-953-0263

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

(Rev. 6/96)

